

Ramanujan's Inverse Elliptic Arc Approximation

Mark B. Villarino

Depto. de Matemática, Universidad de Costa Rica,
2060 San José, Costa Rica

February 1, 2008

Abstract

We suggest a continued fraction origin to RAMANUJAN's approximation to $(\frac{a-b}{a+b})^2$ in terms of the arc length of an ellipse with semiaxes a and b .

Entry 14 of Chapter 38 (page 541) in BERNDT's edition of Volume 5 of *Ramanujan's Notebooks* [2] states (in part):

“Let the perimeter of ellipse $= \pi(a+b)(1+h)$, then

$$\boxed{\left(\frac{a-b}{a+b}\right)^2 = 4h - \frac{3h^2}{2 + \sqrt{1-3h}}} \quad (1)$$

very nearly . . .”

Professor BERNDT's subsequent discussion of this entry merits comment on two points:

1. If one writes

$$\lambda^2(h) \approx 4h - \frac{3h^2}{2 + \sqrt{1-3h}} \quad (2)$$

then BERNDT discusses the (asymptotic) accuracy of the ***inverse*** approximation

$$h \approx h(\lambda^2), \quad (3)$$

i.e., how well the function of λ^2 approximates h , instead of the stated ***direct*** approximation, i.e., how well the approximative function of h in (1) approximates λ^2 .

2. BERNDT provides ***no*** suggestion as to how RAMANUJAN ***might have proved (or discovered) the approximation*** (1).

We therefore offer the following treatment of Entry 14 in which we deal with both points. Our starting point is IVORY's well-known series representation for the perimeter of an ellipse with semiaxes a and b ([1], p. 146):

Theorem 1. *If*

$$\lambda := \frac{a-b}{a+b}, \quad (4)$$

and if L denotes the perimeter of the ellipse

$$\frac{x^2}{a^2} + \frac{y^2}{b^2} = 1 \quad (5)$$

then

$$L = \pi(a+b) \left\{ 1 + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \lambda^2 + \left(\frac{1 \cdot 1}{2 \cdot 4}\right)^2 \lambda^4 + \left(\frac{1 \cdot 1 \cdot 3}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6}\right)^2 \lambda^6 + \dots \right\}. \quad (6)$$

□

We deal with the first point.

Writing

$$L := \pi(a+b)(1+h) \quad (7)$$

and using (6) to solve for h we obtain the equation

$$h \equiv h(\lambda) = \frac{1}{4}\lambda^2 + \frac{1}{4^3}\lambda^4 + \frac{1}{4^4}\lambda^6 + \frac{25}{4^7}\lambda^8 + \frac{49}{4^8}\lambda^{10} + \frac{441}{4^{10}}\lambda^{12} + \frac{1089}{4^{11}}\lambda^{14} + \frac{184041}{4^{15}}\lambda^{16} + \frac{511225}{4^{16}}\lambda^{18} + \dots \quad (8)$$

If we **revert** this series we obtain the **true** expansion:

$$\lambda^2 \equiv \left(\frac{a-b}{a+b}\right)^2 = 4h - h^2 - \frac{1}{2}h^3 - \frac{5}{8}h^4 - \frac{17}{16}h^5 - \frac{273}{128}h^6 - \frac{609}{128}h^7 - \frac{23391}{2048}h^8 + \dots \quad (9)$$

On the other hand, if we develop the **RHS** of RAMANUJANS approximation (1) into powers of h we obtain the **approximative** expansion:

$$\lambda^2 \equiv \left(\frac{a-b}{a+b}\right)^2 \approx 4h - h^2 - \frac{1}{2}h^3 - \frac{5}{8}h^4 - \frac{17}{16}h^5 - \frac{269}{128}h^6 - \frac{1163}{256}h^7 - \frac{10657}{1024}h^8 - \dots \quad (10)$$

Therefore we conclude that,

$$(\text{True } \lambda^2) - (\text{Approximate } \lambda^2) = -\frac{h^6}{32} - \frac{55}{256}h^7 - \frac{2077}{2048}h^8 - \dots$$

and thus, asymptotically, the following error estimate is valid:

Theorem 2. RAMANUJAN's approximation **overestimates** the true value by about $\frac{1}{32}h^6$.

□

The accuracy is quite impressive.

Now we deal with the second point.

If we expand the **RHS** of the *true* value (9) into a *continued fraction* we obtain

$$\left(\frac{a-b}{a+b}\right)^2 = 4h - \frac{h^2}{1 - \frac{\frac{1}{2}h}{1 - \frac{\frac{3}{4}h}{1 - \frac{\frac{3}{4}h}{1 - \frac{\frac{3}{2}h}{1 - \dots}}}}} \quad (11)$$

We suggest that starting with the third numerator on, RAMANUJAN *took all of the numerators* = $\frac{3}{4}h$. Then

$$\left(\frac{a-b}{a+b}\right)^2 \approx 4h - \frac{h^2}{1 - \frac{\frac{1}{2}h}{1 - \frac{\frac{3}{4}h}{1 - \frac{\frac{3}{4}h}{1 - \frac{\frac{3}{4}h}{1 - \dots}}}}} \quad (12)$$

Let us define B by:

$$\left(\frac{a-b}{a+b}\right)^2 \approx 4h - \frac{h^2}{1 - \frac{\frac{1}{2}h}{1 - \frac{\frac{3}{4}h}{1 - \frac{\frac{3}{4}h}{1 - \frac{\frac{3}{4}h}{\underbrace{1 - \dots}_{\equiv B}}}}}}} \quad (13)$$

i.e., B is the continued fraction

$$B := 1 - \frac{\frac{3}{4}h}{1 - \frac{\frac{3}{4}h}{1 - \frac{\frac{3}{4}h}{1 - \dots}}} \quad (14)$$

To evaluate B we observe that B satisfies the equation

$$\begin{aligned} B &= 1 - \frac{\frac{3}{4}h}{B} \\ \Rightarrow B^2 - B + \frac{3}{4}h &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow B &= \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - 3h}}{2} \end{aligned}$$

since $B = 1$ when $h = 0$. Therefore, (13) becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{a-b}{a+b} \right)^2 &\approx 4h - \frac{h^2}{1 - \frac{\frac{1}{2}h}{\frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - 3h}}{2}}} \\ &= 4h - \frac{3h^2}{2 + \sqrt{1 - 3h}} \end{aligned}$$

and we have recovered (or ***discovered!***) RAMANUJAN's approximation (1).

This derivation also explains why RAMANUJAN's approximation is so accurate, since the continued fraction of the true value coincides with that of the approximative value for the first ***four*** convergents so that one knows that the error is that of the fifth convergent.

RAMANUJAN's mastery of continued fractions is justly famous, and we respectfully suggest that he discovered the approximation (1) by a method that follows the march of our presentation.

Acknowledgment

Support from the Vicerrectoría de Investigación of the University of Costa Rica is acknowledged.

References

- [1] B. Berndt, *Ramanujan's Notebooks, Volume 3*, Springer, New York, 1991.
- [2] B. Berndt, *Ramanujan's Notebooks, Volume 5*, Springer, New York, 1998.